

AF 61


**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES**

In re the Application of: SASAKI, Tsutomu et al.

Serial No.: 09/745,303

Filed: December 26, 2000

For: DATA REPRODUCTION DEVICE



Group Art Unit: 2188

Examiner: Kevin L. ELLIS

P.T.O. Confirmation No.: 2061

**REQUEST FOR REINSTATEMENT OF APPEAL AND
SUBMISSION OF SUPPLEMENTAL APPEAL BRIEF UNDER MPEP §1208.02**

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Va 22313-1450

RECEIVED

OCT 25 2004

October 20, 2004

Technology Center 2100

Sir:

Appellants respectfully request reinstatement of the appeal and are submitting herewith in support of the reinstatement an original and two copies of a Supplemental Appeal Brief in the above-identified U.S. patent application.

Please apply the \$340.00 to cover the cost for the Appeal Brief which was previously submitted on June 25, 2004.

If any additional fees are due in connection with this submission, please charge our Deposit Account No. 01-2340. This paper is filed in triplicate.

Respectfully submitted,

ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS, HANSON & BROOKS, LLP

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "W. L. Brooks".

William L. Brooks
Attorney for Applicants
Registration No. 34,129

WLB/alw:mla
Atty. Docket No. 001715
Suite 1000
1725 K Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006
(202) 659-2930



23850

PATENT TRADEMARK OFFICE



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF APPEALS

SUPPLEMENTAL APPEAL BRIEF FOR THE APPELLANTS

Ex parte Tsutomu SASAKI et al.

RECEIVED

OCT 25 2004

DATA REPRODUCTION DEVICE

Technology Center 2100

Serial Number: 09/745,303

Filed: December 26, 2000

Group Art Unit: 2188

Examiner: Kevin L. ELLIS

William L. Brooks
Attorney for Appellants
Registration No. 34,129

ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS, HANSON & BROOKS, LLP
1725 K Street, N.W., Suite 1000
Washington, D.C. 20006
Tel (202) 659-2930
Fax (202) 887-0357

Date: October 20, 2004
Atty. Docket No. 001715

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES**

In re the Application of: SASAKI, Tsutomu et al.

Serial No.: 09/745,303

Filed: December 26, 2000

For: DATA REPRODUCTION DEVICE



Group Art Unit: 2188

Examiner: Kevin L. ELLIS

P.T.O. Confirmation No.: 2061

SUPPLEMENTAL APPEAL BRIEF

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Va 22313-1450

RECEIVED

October 20, 2004

OCT 25 2004

Technology Center 2100

Sir:

This is an appeal from the Office Action dated August 11, 2004 (Paper No. 19) in which claims 1-4 were finally rejected.

A Notice of Appeal was timely filed on April 5, 2004.

I. REAL PARTY IN INTEREST

The real party in interest is the assignee of the subject application, which is:

Sanyo Electric Co., Ltd.
5-5 Keihanhondori 2-chome
Moriguchi-shi, Osaka, Japan

Sanyo Technosound Co., Ltd.
1-1, Sanyocho
Daito-shi, Osaka, Japan

10/21/2004 HVUONG1 00000018 09745303

01 FC:1402

340.00 DP

II. RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Appellants know of no other appeals or interference proceedings related to the present appeal.

III. STATUS OF CLAIMS

Claims 1-4 have been finally rejected under 35 USC §103(a) as unpatentable over U.S. patent 5,428,579 to Robinson et al. (hereinafter "**Robinson et al.**") in view of U.S. Patent 6,332,196 to Kawasaki et al. (hereinafter "**Kawasaki et al.**").

IV. STATUS OF AMENDMENTS

All amendments have been entered.

V. CLAIMS ON APPEAL

A clean copy of claims 1-4 on appeal is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

VI. SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention generally relates to data reproduction devices for reproducing data recorded on memory cards, and more particularly to data reproduction devices which are designed to reduce power consumption. (Specification, page 1, lines 6-9)

The data reproduction device of the present invention, according to claim 1 on appeal, includes a control circuit for reading out data recorded on a memory card 8 having a controller 9

mounted thereon, and a data processing circuit 3 for processing the read data and outputting the generated data. (Figure 1; Specification, page 5, line 15 to page 6, line 8)

The controller 9 of the memory card 8 is so constructed that an active mode A is set for reading out the data with a current consumption of a first current value (e.g., 33 mA) in response to memory access of data reading and thereafter automatically follows to a standby mode 5 (e.g., 50 μ A) for waiting for next memory access with a non-zero current consumption of a second current value less than the first current value. (Figure 3; Specification, page 6, lines 9-15)

The control circuit comprises a buffer 2 for temporarily storing the data to be read out from the memory card 8, first control means to read out the data from the memory card 8 at a first bit rate (e.g., 8 Mbps) to store the generated data to the buffer 2, and second control means to read out the data stored in the buffer 2 at a second bit rate (e.g., 128 Kbps) less than the first bit rate to supply the read data to the data processing circuit 3, and while the data is intermittently read out from the memory card 8 and stored in the buffer 2 according to the first control means, the data is read out from the buffer 2 according to the second control means. (Figure 2; Specification, page 6, line 20 to page 7, line 15)

VII. THE ISSUE

The sole issue in this appeal is whether the invention, as recited in Appellants' claims 1-4 on appeal, is unpatentable over the combination of **Robinson et al.** and **Kawasaki et al.** under 35 USC §103(a).

VIII. GROUPING OF THE CLAIMS

Rejected claims 1-4 on appeal, rise or fall together because the arguments presented herein are directed only to independent claim 1 on appeal, from which claims 2-4 on appeal, depend.

IX. ARGUMENT WITH RESPECT TO THE ISSUES

A. THE REFERENCES

The Examiner has applied two prior art references, namely, **Robinson et al.** and **Kawasaki et al.**, to reject claims 1-4 on appeal under 35 USC §103(a).

Robinson et al. discloses a flash memory card. One flash memory card has circuitry for providing a ready output signal that indicates a first in time transition from a busy mode to a ready mode by either a first flash memory or a second flash memory of the flash memory card. One flash memory card has a power control register that is used to place certain flash memories in a power down mode. One flash memory card retains information in a power control register from a time prior to the entering of a global power down mode to a time after exiting of the global power down mode. One flash memory card has jumpers for indicating how many flash memories are present on the flash memory card.

Kawasaki et al. discloses a disk control apparatus comprising a disk controller for controlling a circuit which controls read operation for reading data from a disk and a CPU for controlling the circuit and the disk controller. The disk controller comprises a buffer memory for storing data for being transferred between a host and the disk controller and a notification section

for notifying the CPU that a first state in which an all buffer region of the buffer memory is stored with data to be transferred to the host transmits to a second state in which a predetermined space occurs in the buffer region of the buffer memory as a result of transferring data to the host. The CPU comprises a main control section for stopping power supply to the circuit during the first state and for supplying power to the circuit in response to a notification from the notification section.

B. SUMMARY OF EXAMINER'S REJECTIONS

In the Office Action mailed August 11, 2004, the Examiner finally rejected claims 1-4 on appeal under 35 USC §103(a) as unpatentable over Robinson et al. in view of Kawasaki et al.

In that Office Action, the Examiner urged, regarding claims 1, 2 and 4 on appeal, that Robinson et al. discloses the invention substantially as claimed. The Examiner states Robinson et al. discloses a data reproduction device (the personal computer 101 shown in figure 1) that comprises a control circuit for reading out data recorded on a memory card (the "memory card" is shown as 110 in figure 1; the "control circuit" would be circuitry in personal computer 101 which would allow communication with the memory card when connected to the computer through connection 112) having a controller mounted thereon. The Examiner urges that Robinson et al. teaches at col. 5, lines 10-12, that the memory card includes a controller. The Examiner further urges that this controller can also be considered the "first control means to read out the data from the memory card" which is claimed in line 10, and a data processing circuit for providing required

processing to the read data and outputting the generated data (personal computer 101 contains a microprocessor/CPU that would be the “data processing circuit”).

The Examiner further urges that **Robinson et al.** also teaches that the controller of the memory card can operate the card under two current consumption modes, an active and a standby mode (see col. 2, lines 6-12 and line 50 to col. 3, line 49). The memory card operates in the active mode when it is being read or written to and in the standby mode when no operation is occurring to the memory card. The standby mode operates with a non-zero current consumption for a second current value less than the first current value (see col. 9, lines 3-54). The Examiner urges that this results in the same power savings as the present invention. However, the Examiner admits that **Robinson et al.** does not disclose the buffer memory that data is read into and that when the amount of data stored in the memory falls below a threshold, the memory card is then operated in the active mode.

The Examiner has cited **Kawasaki et al.** for teaching a buffer that is utilized similarly to the claimed buffer. The Examiner asserts that the buffer of **Kawasaki et al.** stores data from a storage device and when the buffer contains sufficient data, the storage device is operated in a lower power mode. When the amount of data falls below a threshold, the storage device is operated in an active mode and data is read into the buffer (see Abstract and col. 3, lines 5-45). The Examiner urges that the buffer of **Kawasaki et al.** would also inherently include a “control means” to control reading from and writing to the buffer, thus meeting the “second control means to read out the data stored in the buffer” limitation at lines 11-12. The Examiner concludes that it would have been obvious

to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the teachings of **Kawasaki et al.** in the system of **Robinson et al.** and provide a buffer between the memory card and the requestor of the data. The Examiner states that the operation of the memory card would operate in a manner similar to that of the storage device taught by **Kawasaki et al.** When there is sufficient data in the buffer, the memory card can be operated in a reduced power state; when the amount falls below a threshold, the memory card would be operated in the powered up state (active mode) and data read into the buffer. The Examiner suggests that this arrangement would provide power savings because the amount of time the memory card operated in a powered on state (active mode) would be decreased.

As for the limitation regarding “read out the data from the memory card at a first bit rate to store the generated data to the buffer...read out the data stored in the buffer at a second bit rate less than the first bit” (claim 1, lines 10-12), the Examiner suggests that these limitations would be inherently met by the teachings of **Kawasaki et al.** that are combined with the teachings of **Robinson et al.** There are only three scenarios possible with regard to the data transfer rates of the memory and that of the buffer:

1. memory data transfer rate < buffer data transfer rate
2. memory data transfer rate = buffer data transfer rate
3. memory data transfer rate > buffer data transfer rate

In order for the power savings disclosed in **Kawasaki et al.** to happen, the Examiner states that the only scenario that can be true is the third one. With the first and second scenario, the buffer

would never fill up with data because it is being read out of the buffer at a greater or equal to data transfer rate than data is being read from the memory. This would mean that the memory would always be powered on in order to access more data. With the third scenario, because the data transfer rate of the memory is greater than the data transfer rate of the buffer, the buffer can be filled with data and then read from the buffer while the memory is powered down, resulting in a power savings. The Examiner concludes that the combination of Robinson et al. and Kawasaki et al. would meet the claimed differences in bit rates of the memory and buffer as the claimed bit rates (buffer bit rate being less than the memory bit rate) is the only scenario possible that would allow for the power savings taught by Kawasaki et al.

Regarding claim 3 on appeal, the Examiner urged that Robinson et al. teaches setting the memory card in the standby mode when there is no memory access within a predetermined period of time (see col. 16, lines 16-24).

C. APPELLANTS' ARGUMENT

Claims 1-4 on appeal are patentable over the combination of Robinson et al. and Kawasaki et al. under 35 USC §103(a).

It is a basic tenet of patent law that to justify the use of a particular combination of prior art references to find a claim unpatentable, there must be a showing that the references themselves embody the specific claimed combination. This teaching was affirmed by the PTO U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in Ex parte Clapp, 227 USPQ 972

(P.T.O. Bd. Pat. App. Int. 1985). This principle embodies the same concept propounded by the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit in that, not only must there be a teaching in the prior art of the structural elements of appellant's claimed invention, the prior art itself must actually suggest that the structural elements be combined in a similar manner as the claimed invention. See, e.g., Panduit Corp. v. Dennison Mfg. Co., 774 F.2d 1082, 227 USPQ 337 (Fed. Cir. 1985), vacated on other grounds, Dennison Mfg. Co. v. Panduit Corp., 475 U.S. 809, 229 USPQ 478 (1986).

Robinson et al. discloses a flash memory card with a power control register that is used to place certain flash memories in a power down mode.

Column 2, lines 6-12 disclose:

One type of prior flash EPROM used in a prior flash memory card has a standby mode that disables most of the flash EPROM circuitry and reduces device power consumption. The prior flash EPROM also has an active mode. The active mode requires increased power consumption. The active mode is used when the flash EPROM is being written to, read from, or erased.

The Examiner has admitted that Robinson et al. does not disclose the buffer memory that data is read into and that when the amount of data stored in the memory falls below a threshold the memory card is then operated in the active mode, as recited in claim 1 of the instant application.

Kawasaki et al. discloses a disk control apparatus comprising a disk controller for controlling a circuit which controls read operation for reading data from a disk and a CPU for controlling the circuit and the disk controller. The disk controller comprises a buffer memory for storing data for being transferred between a host and the disk controller and a notification section

for notifying the CPU that a first state in which an all buffer region of the buffer memory is stored with data to be transferred to the host transmits to a second state in which a predetermined space occurs in the buffer region of the buffer memory as a result of transferring data to the host. The CPU comprises a main control section for stopping power supply to the circuit during the first state and for supplying power to the circuit in response to a notification from the notification section.

Kawasaki et al. teaches only one powered state, which occurs only when a predetermined space occurs in the buffer region by transferring data to the host. The other state in which the buffer is completely full of data to be transferred to the host, consumes no power.

This is in contrast to the present invention, in which there are two power on states, where one is a high (active) mode for reading data from the memory card to the buffer at a high bit rate, and the other is a low (standby) mode in which the memory card waits for a next memory access while the buffer outputs data at a low rate.

Neither of the prior art references teaches, mentions or suggests the relationship between the current consumption and the respective data transfer rates of the card and the buffer, as recited in claim 1 on appeal.

In response to this, the Examiner has urged:

As for applicants remarks regarding the two different bit rates, these rates are only different because the data being read out of the buffer memory is being read out intermittently. As taught by the specification, applicants memory is capable of providing data at 8 Mbps, the data being read out of the buffer is music data that needs to be accessed at 128 Kbps (see pages 6-7 of the specification). Obviously if data is read from a buffer for a storage device at a much

slower rate than the data can be read from the storage device then the bit rates will be different. (Office Action of January 7, 2004)

Appellants respectfully disagree. Page 7, lines 1-3 of the specification state that when the memory card 8 is in the active mode, a predetermined amount of data is read from the memory card at a bit rate of 8 Mbps. Page 7, lines 7-9 disclose that, in the stand-by mode, the data read from the memory card 8 is temporarily stored in the buffer 2, and thereafter is read out from the buffer 2 at the bit rate of 128 Kbps.

These passages do not suggest that the data read out of the buffer in the stand-by mode is in intermittent bursts of 8 Mbps to result in an overall rate of 128 Kbps, as asserted by the Examiner. This is because the 8 Mbps rate relates to the output of the memory card 8 while the 128 Kbps rate relates to the output of the buffer 2. Thus, the Examiner's conclusion is based on a false assumption and is not well-taken.

X. CONCLUSION

For the above reasons, The Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences is therefore respectfully requested to reverse the Examiner's rejection of claims 1-4 on appeal under 35 USC §103(a) and instruct the Examiner to pass this application to issue with allowable claims 1-4.

In the event this paper is timely filed, Appellant hereby petitions for an appropriate extension of time. The fee for any such extension may be charged to Deposit Account No. 01-2340, along with any other additional fees which may be required with respect to this paper.

Respectfully submitted,

ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS, HANSON & BROOKS, LLP



William L. Brooks
Attorney for Applicants
Registration No. 34,129

WLB/alw:mla
Atty. Docket No. **001715**
Suite 1000
1725 K Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006
(202) 659-2930



23850

PATENT TRADEMARK OFFICE

Enclosure: Appendix A containing Claims on Appeal

H:\FLOATERS\WLB\00\001715\08-04 Supp Appeal Brief

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES**

In re the Application of: **SASAKI, Tsutomu et al.**

Serial No.: **09/745,303**

Filed: **December 26, 2000**

For: **DATA REPRODUCTION DEVICE**



Group Art Unit: **2188**

Examiner: **Kevin L. ELLIS**

P.T.O. **RECEIVED** No. 2061

OCT 25 2004

Technology Center 2100

CLAIMS ON APPEAL

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Va 22313-1450

October 20, 2004

Sir:

The claims on appeal are 1-4, presented below.

Claim 1 (currently amended): A data reproduction device comprising a control circuit for reading out data recorded on a memory card having a controller mounted thereon, and a data processing circuit for giving required processing to the read data and outputting the generated data,

wherein the controller of the memory card is so constructed that an active mode is set for reading out the data with a current consumption of a first current value in response to memory access of data reading and thereafter automatically follows to a standby mode for waiting for next memory access with a non-zero current consumption of a second current value less than the first current value,

wherein the control circuit comprises a buffer for temporarily storing the data to be read out from the memory card, first control means to read out the data from the memory card at a first bit rate to store the generated data to the buffer, and second control means to read out the data stored

in the buffer at a second bit rate less than the first bit rate to supply the read data to the data processing circuit, and while the data is intermittently read out from the memory card and stored in the buffer according to the first control means, the data is read out from the buffer according to the second control means.

Claim 2 (original): A data reproduction device according to claim 1 wherein the first control means starts reading new data when predetermined space capacity is generated in the buffer by the second control means reading out the data from the buffer.

Claim 3 (original): A data reproduction device according to claim 1 wherein the controller of the memory card is so constructed that the standby mode follows when there is no memory access within a predetermined period of time after setting the active mode.

Claim 4 (original): A data reproduction device according to claim 1 wherein the control circuit repeats the intermittent read-out using the buffer until all the data to be reproduced is read out from the memory card.